

Delayed mode quality control of Argo float 3901879

Kamila Walicka

British National Data Centre (BODC), National Oceanography Centre
Joseph Proudman Building, 6, Brownlow St, Liverpool L3 5DA

January 21, 2020

Summary

Float showed a positive drift of the salinity data. The OWC corrections has been applied to the entire float 1-102;QC=2; error 0.005. There is no output from the OWC method in comparing to the CTD referenced data. Float has been compared with Argo reference data. Float need to be revisited after its death.

WMO number	DM correction
3901879	Drift reported

Table 1: Correction applied in delayed mode.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Quality Check of Argo Float Data	3
2.1	Time Series of Vertical Distribution of Data	3
2.2	Comparison between Argo Float and Climatology	4
2.3	Satellite Altimeter comparison	8
3	Correction of Salinity Data	8
3.1	Comparison between Argo floats and CTD Climatology	8
3.1.1	Configuration	8
3.1.2	Results	12
3.2	Comparison between Argo floats and Argo Climatology	20
3.2.1	Configuration	20
3.2.2	Results	24
3.3	Summary and Conclusions	32
4	Final Checks	32

1 Introduction

Delayed mode analysis was performed for float number 360516i (3901879) where salinity and temperature values were separately compared to nearby historical CTD profiles and nearby Argo profiles as a reference database. The OWC (Cabanes et al., 2016) method was run to estimate a salinity offset and/or a salinity drift. For more information about float 360516i (3901879) click on the following link: <http://www.ifremer.fr/argoMonitoring/float/3901879>

2 Quality Check of Argo Float Data

2.1 Time Series of Vertical Distribution of Data




Figure 1: Float 3901879. Time series of the vertical distribution of potential temperature (°C).




Figure 2: Float 3901879. Time series of the vertical distribution of practical salinity (PSU).

2.2 Comparison between Argo Float and Climatology

The comparison between float 3901879 and data from WMO boxes $\pm 10^\circ$ of latitude and longitude shows that the Argo profiles fit within the expected ranges (Figures 3, 4 and 5). This result confirms that float 3901879 represents relatively stable and consistent with the expected physical conditions in this region.




Figure 3: Float 3901879. Float profile of potential temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) plotted with climatology from the spatial range of 10° . The black and blue cycles indicates the first and the last Argo profile, respectively. Green symbols represent other Argo profiles.




Figure 4: Float 3901879. Float profile of salinity (dimensionless) plotted with climatology from the spatial range of 10° . The black and blue cycles indicates the first and the last Argo profile, respectively. Green symbols represent other Argo profiles.




Figure 5: Float 3901879. Theta/S plotted with climatology from the spatial range of 10° . The black and blue cycles indicates the first and the last Argo profile, respectively. Green symbols represent other Argo profiles.

2.3 Satellite Altimeter comparison




Figure 6: Float 3901879. The comparison between the Sea Surface Height(SSH) from the satellite altimetry and Dynamic Height Anomaly(DHA)extracted from the Argo float temperature and salinity data

3 Correction of Salinity Data

3.1 Comparison between Argo floats and CTD Climatology

3.1.1 Configuration

```
% =====
%
```

```

%      Climatology Data Input Paths
%

HISTORICAL_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/climatology
HISTORICAL_CTD_PREFIX=/historical_ctd/CTD_for_DMQC_2019V01/ctd_
HISTORICAL_BOTTLE_PREFIX=/historical_bot/bot_
HISTORICAL_ARGO_PREFIX=/argo_profiles/ARGO_for_DMQC_2019V03/argo_

% =====
%
%      Float Input Path
%

FLOAT_SOURCE_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_source/
FLOAT_SOURCE_POSTFIX=.mat

% =====
%
%      Mapping Output Path
%

FLOAT_MAPPED_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_mapped/ctd/
FLOAT_MAPPED_PREFIX=map_
FLOAT_MAPPED_POSTFIX=.mat

% =====
%
%      Calibration Output Path
%

FLOAT_CALIB_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_calib/ctd/
FLOAT_CALIB_PREFIX=cal_
FLOAT_CALSERIES_PREFIX=calseries_
FLOAT_CALIB_POSTFIX=.mat

% =====
%
%      Diagnostic Plots Output Path
%

FLOAT_PLOTS_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_plots/ctd/

% =====
%
%      Constants File Path
%

```

```

CONFIG_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/constants/
CONFIG_COASTLINES=coastdat.mat
CONFIG_WMO_BOXES=wmo_boxes_ctd.mat
CONFIG_SAF=TypicalProfileAroundSAF.mat

% =====
%
% max number of historical casts used in objective mapping
CONFIG_MAX_CASTS=310

% 1=use PV constraint, 0=don't use PV constraint, in objective mapping
MAP_USE_PV=1

% 1=use SAF separation criteria, 0=don't use SAF separation criteria, in objective mapping
MAP_USE_SAF=1

% spatial decorrelation scales, in degrees
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_LARGE=6
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_SMALL=3
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_LARGE=4
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_SMALL=2

% cross-isobath scales, dimensionless, see BS(2005)
MAPSCALE_PHI_LARGE=0.1
MAPSCALE_PHI_SMALL=0.02

% temporal decorrelation scale, in years
MAPSCALE_AGE=5
MAPSCALE_AGE_LARGE=10

% exclude the top xxx dbar of the water column
MAP_P_EXCLUDE=100

% only use historical data that are within +/- yyy dbar from float data
MAP_P_DELTA=200

```


3.1.2 Results




Figure 7: Float 3901879. Trajectory of the float with historical CTD data. The black contours indicate the bathymetry at 0, 200, 1000 and 2000 m.

3901879 uncalibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors




Figure 8: Float 3901879. Uncalibrated float data and mapped salinity.





Figure 9: Float 3901879. Potential conductivity (top) and vertically averaged salinity (bottom) with errors.

3901879 calibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors




Figure 10: Float 3901879. Calibrated float data and mapped salinity.




Figure 11: Float 3901879. Salinity anomaly on θ levels.




Figure 12: Float 3901879. Salinities with errors on θ levels.




Figure 13: Float 3901879. Calibrated salinity anomaly on θ levels.







Figure 14: Float 3901879. Salinity, salinity variance on theta and OW chosen levels.

3.2 Comparison between Argo floats and Argo Climatology

3.2.1 Configuration

```
% =====
%
%   Climatology Data Input Paths
%
HISTORICAL_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/climatology
HISTORICAL_CTD_PREFIX=/historical_ctd/CTD_for_DMQC_2019V01/ctd_
HISTORICAL_BOTTLE_PREFIX=/historical_bot/bot_
HISTORICAL_ARGO_PREFIX=/argo_profiles/ARGO_for_DMQC_2019V03/argo_


% =====
%
%   Float Input Path
%
FLOAT_SOURCE_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_source/
FLOAT_SOURCE_POSTFIX=.mat


% =====
%
%   Mapping Output Path
%
FLOAT_MAPPED_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_mapped/argo/
FLOAT_MAPPED_PREFIX=map_
FLOAT_MAPPED_POSTFIX=.mat


% =====
%
%   Calibration Output Path
%
FLOAT_CALIB_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_calib/argo/
FLOAT_CALIB_PREFIX=cal_
FLOAT_CALSERIES_PREFIX=calseries_
FLOAT_CALIB_POSTFIX=.mat


% =====
%
%   Diagnostic Plots Output Path
%
```

```

FLOAT_PLOTS_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_plots/argo/
% =====
% Constants File Path
%
CONFIG_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/constants/
CONFIG_COASTLINES=coastdat.mat
CONFIG_WMO_BOXES=wmo_boxes_argo.mat
CONFIG_SAF=TypicalProfileAroundSAF.mat

% =====
% Objective Mapping Parameters
%

% max number of historical casts used in objective mapping
CONFIG_MAX_CASTS=310

% 1=use PV constraint, 0=don't use PV constraint, in objective mapping
MAP_USE_PV=1

% 1=use SAF separation criteria, 0=don't use SAF separation criteria, in objective mapping
MAP_USE_SAF=1

% spatial decorrelation scales, in degrees
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_LARGE=6
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_SMALL=3
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_LARGE=4
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_SMALL=2

% cross-isobath scales, dimensionless, see BS(2005)
MAPSCALE_PHI_LARGE=0.1
MAPSCALE_PHI_SMALL=0.02

% temporal decorrelation scale, in years
MAPSCALE_AGE=5
MAPSCALE_AGE_LARGE=10

% exclude the top xxx dbar of the water column
MAP_P_EXCLUDE=100

% only use historical data that are within +/- yyy dbar from float data
MAP_P_DELTA=200

```


3.2.2 Results




Figure 15: Float 3901879. Trajectory of the float with historical CTD data. The black contours indicate the bathymetry at 0, 200, 1000 and 2000 m.

3901879 uncalibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors




Figure 16: Float 3901879. Uncalibrated float data and mapped salinity.



3901879 potential conductivity (mmho/cm) multiplicative correction r with errors**3901879 vertically-averaged salinity (PSS-78) additive correction**

Figure 17: Float 3901879. Potential conductivity (top) and vertically averaged salinity (bottom) with errors.

3901879 calibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors




Figure 18: Float 3901879. Calibrated float data and mapped salinity.




Figure 19: Float 3901879. Salinity anomaly on Theta




Figure 20: Float 3901879. Salinities with errors on θ .




Figure 21: Float 3901879. Calibrated salinity anomaly on θ .








Figure 22: Float 3901879. Salinity, salinity variance on theta and OW chosen levels.

3.3 Summary and Conclusions

The theta levels were set between 1000 m. Float looks like is drifting from the beginning of its life. There is also a very small error associated to the data. Altimeter data also revealed some strong disagreement with float data. The OWC corrections has been applied to the entire float 1-102; QC=2; error 0.005.

4 Final Checks






Figure 24: Float 3901879. Time series of applied temperature corrections.




Figure 25: Float 3901879. Time series of applied salinity corrections.