# Delayed mode quality control of MOCCA Argo float 3901949

#### Kamila Walicka

British National Data Centre (BODC), National Oceanography Centre Joseph Proudman Building, 6, Brownlow St, Liverpool L3 5DA

January 27, 2020

#### Summary

Float is probably drifting from the beginning of the float life. The QC correction has been applied (cycle 1-77), the QC has been set to 2, error 0.01.

WMO number	DM correction
3901949	Drift detected

Table 1: Correction	applied	in delayed	mode.
---------------------	---------	------------	-------

# Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Quality Check of Argo Float Data         2.1 Time Series of Vertical Distribution of Data         2.2 Comparison between Argo Float and Climatology         2.3 Satellite Altimeter comparison	<b>3</b> 3 4 8
3	Correction of Salinity Data 3.1 Comparison between Argo floats and CTD Climatlogy	8 8 8
	3.1.2 Results         3.2 Comparison between Argo floats and Argo Climatlogy         3.2.1 Configuration         3.2.2 Results         3.3 Summary and Conclusions	$12 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 24 \\ 32$
4	Final Checks	32

# 1 Introduction

Delayed mode analysis was performed for float number 470086i (3901949) where salinity and temperature values were separately compared to nearby historical CTD profiles and nearby Argo profiles as a reference database. The OWC (Cabanes et al., 2016) method was run to estimate a salinity offset and/or a salinity drift. For more information about float 470086i (3901949) click on the following link: http://www.ifremer.fr/argoMonitoring/float/3901949

### 2 Quality Check of Argo Float Data

#### 2.1 Time Series of Vertical Distribution of Data



## Float 3901949 Potential Temperature

Figure 1: Float 3901949. Time series of the vertical distribution of potential temperature (°C).



Figure 2: Float 3901949. Time series of the vertical distribution of practical salinity (PSU).

#### 2.2 Comparison between Argo Float and Climatology

The comparison between float 3901949 and data from WMO boxes  $+/-10^{\circ}$  of latitude and longitude shows that the Argo profiles fit within the expected ranges (Figures 3, 4 and 5). This result confirms that float 3901949 represents relatively stable and consistent with the expected physical conditions in this region.



Figure 3: Float 3901949. Float profile of potential temperature (°C) plotted with climatology from the spatial range of 10 °. The black and blue cycles indicates the first and the last Argo profile, respectively. Green symbols represent other Argo profiles.



Figure 4: Float 3901949. Float profile of salinity (dimensionless) plotted with climatology from the spatial range of 10 °. The black and blue cycles indicates the first and the last Argo profile, respectively. Green symbols represent other Argo profiles.



Figure 5: Float 3901949. Theta/S plotted with climatology from the spatial range of 10  $^{\circ}$ . The black and blue cycles indicates the first and the last Argo profile, respectively. Green symbols represent other Argo profiles.

#### 2.3 Satellite Altimeter comparison



Figure 6: Float 3901949. The comparison between the Sea Surface Height(SSH) from the satellite altimetry and Dynamic Height Anomaly(DHA) extracted from the Argo float temperature and salinity data

# 3 Correction of Salinity Data

### 3.1 Comparison between Argo floats and CTD Climatlogy

#### 3.1.1 Configuration

% ======= %

```
%
    Climatology Data Input Paths
%
HISTORICAL_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/climatology
HISTORICAL_CTD_PREFIX=/historical_ctd/CTD_for_DMQC_2019V01/ctd_
HISTORICAL_BOTTLE_PREFIX=/historical_bot/WOD2001_v2/bot_
HISTORICAL_ARGO_PREFIX=/argo_profiles/ARGO_for_DMQC_2019V03/argo_
%
%
    Float Input Path
%
FLOAT_SOURCE_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_source/
FLOAT_SOURCE_POSTFIX=.mat
%
%
    Mapping Output Path
%
FLOAT_MAPPED_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_mapped/ctd/
FLOAT_MAPPED_PREFIX=map_
FLOAT_MAPPED_POSTFIX=.mat
%
%
    Calibration Output Path
%
FLOAT_CALIB_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_calib/ctd/
FLOAT_CALIB_PREFIX=cal_
FLOAT_CALSERIES_PREFIX=calseries_
FLOAT_CALIB_POSTFIX=.mat
%
%
    Diagnostic Plots Output Path
%
FLOAT_PLOTS_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_plots/ctd/
%
```

```
% Constants File Path
%
```

CONFIG\_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/constants/ CONFIG\_COASTLINES=coastdat.mat CONFIG\_WMO\_BOXES=wmo\_boxes\_ctd.mat CONFIG\_SAF=TypicalProfileAroundSAF.mat % % max number of historical casts used in objective mapping CONFIG\_MAX\_CASTS=310 % 1=use PV constraint, 0=don't use PV constraint, in objective mapping MAP\_USE\_PV=1 % 1=use SAF separation criteria, 0=don't use SAF separation criteria, in objective mapping MAP\_USE\_SAF=1 % spatial decorrelation scales, in degrees MAPSCALE\_LONGITUDE\_LARGE=6 MAPSCALE\_LONGITUDE\_SMALL=3 MAPSCALE\_LATITUDE\_LARGE=4 MAPSCALE\_LATITUDE\_SMALL=2 % cross-isobath scales, dimensionless, see BS(2005) MAPSCALE\_PHI\_LARGE=0.1 MAPSCALE\_PHI\_SMALL=0.02 % temporal decorrelation scale, in years MAPSCALE\_AGE=5 MAPSCALE\_AGE\_LARGE=10 % exclude the top xxx dbar of the water column MAP\_P\_EXCLUDE=100 % only use historical data that are within +/- yyy dbar from float data MAP\_P\_DELTA=200



Figure 7: Float 3901949. Trajectory of the float with historical CTD data. The black contours indicate the bathymetry at 0, 200, 1000 and 2000 m.



3901949 uncalibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors

Figure 8: Float 3901949. Uncalibrated float data and mapped salinity.



3901949 potential conductivity (mmho/cm) multiplicative correction r with errors



Figure 9: Float 3901949. Potential conductivity (top) and vertically averaged salinity (bottom) with errors.



3901949 calibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors

Figure 10: Float 3901949. Calibrated float data and mapped salinity.



Figure 11: Float 3901949. Salinity anomaly on  $\theta$  levels.



Figure 12: Float 3901949. Salinities with errors on  $\theta$  levels.



Figure 13: Float 3901949. Calibrated salinity anomaly on  $\theta$  levels.



Figure 14: Float 3901949. Salinity, salinity variance on theta and OW chosen levels.

#### 3.2 Comparison between Argo floats and Argo Climatlogy

3.2.1 Configuration

```
%
%
    Climatology Data Input Paths
%
HISTORICAL_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/climatology
HISTORICAL_CTD_PREFIX=/historical_ctd/CTD_for_DMQC_2019V01/ctd_
HISTORICAL_BOTTLE_PREFIX=/historical_bot/bot_
HISTORICAL_ARGO_PREFIX=/argo_profiles/ARGO_for_DMQC_2019V03/argo_
%
%
    Float Input Path
%
FLOAT_SOURCE_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_source/
FLOAT_SOURCE_POSTFIX=.mat
%
    Mapping Output Path
%
%
FLOAT_MAPPED_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_mapped/argo/
FLOAT_MAPPED_PREFIX=map_
FLOAT_MAPPED_POSTFIX=.mat
%
%
    Calibration Output Path
%
FLOAT_CALIB_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_calib/argo/
FLOAT_CALIB_PREFIX=cal_
FLOAT_CALSERIES_PREFIX=calseries_
FLOAT_CALIB_POSTFIX=.mat
%
%
    Diagnostic Plots Output Path
%
```

FLOAT\_PLOTS\_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float\_plots/argo/ % % Constants File Path % CONFIG\_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/constants/ CONFIG\_COASTLINES=coastdat.mat CONFIG\_WMO\_BOXES=wmo\_boxes\_argo.mat CONFIG\_SAF=TypicalProfileAroundSAF.mat % % Objective Mapping Parameters % % max number of historical casts used in objective mapping CONFIG\_MAX\_CASTS=310 % 1=use PV constraint, 0=don't use PV constraint, in objective mapping MAP\_USE\_PV=1 % 1=use SAF separation criteria, 0=don't use SAF separation criteria, in objective mapping MAP\_USE\_SAF=1 % spatial decorrelation scales, in degrees MAPSCALE\_LONGITUDE\_LARGE=6 MAPSCALE\_LONGITUDE\_SMALL=3 MAPSCALE\_LATITUDE\_LARGE=4 MAPSCALE\_LATITUDE\_SMALL=2 % cross-isobath scales, dimensionless, see BS(2005) MAPSCALE\_PHI\_LARGE=0.1 MAPSCALE\_PHI\_SMALL=0.02 % temporal decorrelation scale, in years MAPSCALE\_AGE=5 MAPSCALE\_AGE\_LARGE=10 % exclude the top xxx dbar of the water column MAP\_P\_EXCLUDE=100 % only use historical data that are within +/- yyy dbar from float data MAP\_P\_DELTA=200



Figure 15: Float 3901949. Trajectory of the float with historical CTD data. The black contours indicate the bathymetry at 0, 200, 1000 and 2000 m.



3901949 uncalibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors

Figure 16: Float 3901949. Uncalibrated float data and mapped salinity.



3901949 potential conductivity (mmho/cm) multiplicative correction r with errors



Figure 17: Float 3901949. Potential conductivity (top) and vertically averaged salinity (bottom) with errors.



3901949 calibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors

Figure 18: Float 3901949. Calibrated float data and mapped salinity.



Figure 19: Float 3901949. Salinity anomaly on Theta





Figure 20: Float 3901949. Salinities with errors on  $\theta$ .



Figure 21: Float 3901949. Calibrated salinity anomaly on  $\theta.$ 



Figure 22: Float 3901949. Salinity, salinity variance on theta and OW chosen levels.

#### 3.3 Summary and Conclusions

The Apex float was adjusted using the sea surface pressure data. The pressure sensor is not truncated, QC=1, error=2.4 dbar. The theta levels were set below 1000 m.The time series separated onto three time steps due to very long time series (almost 8 years) and different water masses. The assessed error of salinity for cycles 1-120 is 0.005; 121-199 is 0.015 and 200-286 is 0.01. No further corrections is required.

#### **Final Checks** $\mathbf{4}$



<sup>3901949:</sup> correction for PRES(pres\_adjusted - pres) in the netcdf file

34 Figure 23: Float 3901949. Time series of applied pressure corrections.





Figure 25: Float 3901949. Time series of applied salinity corrections.