# Delayed mode quality control of Argo float 3901880

#### Kamila Walicka

British National Data Centre (BODC), National Oceanography Centre Joseph Proudman Building, 6, Brownlow St, Liverpool L3 5DA

January 21, 2020

#### Summary

Float showed a positive drift of the salinity data. Float is drifting due to a conductivity cell 1-29, QC=1, err=0.01; 30-63 apply correction qc=2, err 0.016; 64-101- 4; gray list.

| WMO number | DM correction  |
|------------|----------------|
| 3901880    | Drift reported |

Table 1: Correction applied in delayed mode.

# Contents

| 1 | Introduction   | 3                                    |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | Quality Check of Argo Float Data         2.1 Time Series of Vertical Distribution of Data         2.2 Comparison between Argo Float and Climatology         2.3 Satellite Altimeter comparison | <b>3</b><br>3<br>4<br>8              |
| 3 | Correction of Salinity Data         3.1       Comparison between Argo floats and CTD Climatlogy  | 8<br>8<br>12<br>20<br>20<br>24<br>33 |
| 4 | Final Checks   | 35                                   |

## 1 Introduction

Delayed mode analysis was performed for float number 360021i (3901880) where salinity and temperature values were separately compared to nearby historical CTD profiles and nearby Argo profiles as a reference database. The OWC (Cabanes et al., 2016) method was run to estimate a salinity offset and/or a salinity drift. For more information about float 360021i (3901880) click on the following link: http://www.ifremer.fr/argoMonitoring/float/3901880

## 2 Quality Check of Argo Float Data

### 2.1 Time Series of Vertical Distribution of Data



### Float 3901880 Potential Temperature

Figure 1: Float 3901880. Time series of the vertical distribution of potential temperature (°C).



Figure 2: Float 3901880. Time series of the vertical distribution of practical salinity (PSU).

#### 2.2 Comparison between Argo Float and Climatology

The comparison between float 3901880 and data from WMO boxes  $+/-10^{\circ}$  of latitude and longitude shows that the Argo profiles fit within the expected ranges (Figures 3, 4 and 5). This result confirms that float 3901880 represents relatively stable and consistent with the expected physical conditions in this region.



Figure 3: Float 3901880. Float profile of potential temperature (°C) plotted with climatology from the spatial range of 10 °. The black and blue cycles indicates the first and the last Argo profile, respectively. Green symbols represent other Argo profiles.



Figure 4: Float 3901880. Float profile of salinity (dimensionless) plotted with climatology from the spatial range of 10 °. The black and blue cycles indicates the first and the last Argo profile, respectively. Green symbols represent other Argo profiles.



Figure 5: Float 3901880. Theta/S plotted with climatology from the spatial range of 10  $^{\circ}$ . The black and blue cycles indicates the first and the last Argo profile, respectively. Green symbols represent other Argo profiles.

#### 2.3 Satellite Altimeter comparison



3901880 - 1900 db

Figure 6: Float 3901880. The comparison betweeen the Sea Surface Height(SSH) from the satellite altimetry and Dynamic Height Anomaly(DHA)extracted from the Argo float temperature and salinity data

### 3 Correction of Salinity Data

### 3.1 Comparison between Argo floats and CTD Climatlogy

#### 3.1.1 Configuration

% -----%

```
%
    Climatology Data Input Paths
%
HISTORICAL_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/climatology
HISTORICAL_CTD_PREFIX=/historical_ctd/CTD_for_DMQC_2019V01/ctd_
HISTORICAL_BOTTLE_PREFIX=/historical_bot/WOD2001_v2/bot_
HISTORICAL_ARGO_PREFIX=/argo_profiles/ARGO_for_DMQC_2019V03/argo_
%
%
    Float Input Path
%
FLOAT_SOURCE_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_source/
FLOAT_SOURCE_POSTFIX=.mat
%
%
    Mapping Output Path
%
FLOAT_MAPPED_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_mapped/ctd/
FLOAT_MAPPED_PREFIX=map_
FLOAT_MAPPED_POSTFIX=.mat
%
%
    Calibration Output Path
%
FLOAT_CALIB_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_calib/ctd/
FLOAT_CALIB_PREFIX=cal_
FLOAT_CALSERIES_PREFIX=calseries_
FLOAT_CALIB_POSTFIX=.mat
%
%
    Diagnostic Plots Output Path
%
FLOAT_PLOTS_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_plots/ctd/
%
```

```
% Constants File Path
%
```

CONFIG\_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/constants/ CONFIG\_COASTLINES=coastdat.mat CONFIG\_WMO\_BOXES=wmo\_boxes\_ctd.mat CONFIG\_SAF=TypicalProfileAroundSAF.mat % % max number of historical casts used in objective mapping CONFIG\_MAX\_CASTS=310 % 1=use PV constraint, 0=don't use PV constraint, in objective mapping MAP\_USE\_PV=1 % 1=use SAF separation criteria, 0=don't use SAF separation criteria, in objective mapping MAP\_USE\_SAF=1 % spatial decorrelation scales, in degrees MAPSCALE\_LONGITUDE\_LARGE=6 MAPSCALE\_LONGITUDE\_SMALL=3 MAPSCALE\_LATITUDE\_LARGE=4 MAPSCALE\_LATITUDE\_SMALL=2 % cross-isobath scales, dimensionless, see BS(2005) MAPSCALE\_PHI\_LARGE=0.1 MAPSCALE\_PHI\_SMALL=0.02 % temporal decorrelation scale, in years MAPSCALE\_AGE=5 MAPSCALE\_AGE\_LARGE=10 % exclude the top xxx dbar of the water column MAP\_P\_EXCLUDE=100 % only use historical data that are within +/- yyy dbar from float data MAP\_P\_DELTA=200



Figure 7: Float 3901880. Trajectory of the float with historical CTD data. The black contours indicate the bathymetry at 0, 200, 1000 and 2000 m.



3901880 uncalibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors

Figure 8: Float 3901880. Uncalibrated float data and mapped salinity.



3901880 potential conductivity (mmho/cm) multiplicative correction r with errors



Figure 9: Float 3901880. Potential conductivity (top) and vertically averaged salinity (bottom) with errors.



3901880 calibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors

Figure 10: Float 3901880. Calibrated float data and mapped salinity.



Figure 11: Float 3901880. Salinity anomaly on  $\theta$  levels.



Figure 12: Float 3901880. Salinities with errors on  $\theta$  levels.



Figure 13: Float 3901880. Calibrated salinity anomaly on  $\theta$  levels.



Figure 14: Float 3901880. Salinity, salinity variance on theta and OW chosen levels.

#### 3.2 Comparison between Argo floats and Argo Climatlogy

3.2.1 Configuration

```
%
%
    Climatology Data Input Paths
%
HISTORICAL_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/climatology
HISTORICAL_CTD_PREFIX=/historical_ctd/CTD_for_DMQC_2019V01/ctd_
HISTORICAL_BOTTLE_PREFIX=/historical_bot/bot_
HISTORICAL_ARGO_PREFIX=/argo_profiles/ARGO_for_DMQC_2019V03/argo_
%
%
    Float Input Path
%
FLOAT_SOURCE_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_source/
FLOAT_SOURCE_POSTFIX=.mat
%
    Mapping Output Path
%
%
FLOAT_MAPPED_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_mapped/argo/
FLOAT_MAPPED_PREFIX=map_
FLOAT_MAPPED_POSTFIX=.mat
%
%
    Calibration Output Path
%
FLOAT_CALIB_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float_calib/argo/
FLOAT_CALIB_PREFIX=cal_
FLOAT_CALSERIES_PREFIX=calseries_
FLOAT_CALIB_POSTFIX=.mat
%
%
    Diagnostic Plots Output Path
%
```

FLOAT\_PLOTS\_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/float\_plots/argo/ % % Constants File Path % CONFIG\_DIRECTORY=/users/argo/ow/matlabow-2.0.1/data/constants/ CONFIG\_COASTLINES=coastdat.mat CONFIG\_WMO\_BOXES=wmo\_boxes\_argo.mat CONFIG\_SAF=TypicalProfileAroundSAF.mat % % Objective Mapping Parameters % % max number of historical casts used in objective mapping CONFIG\_MAX\_CASTS=310 % 1=use PV constraint, 0=don't use PV constraint, in objective mapping MAP\_USE\_PV=1 % 1=use SAF separation criteria, 0=don't use SAF separation criteria, in objective mapping MAP\_USE\_SAF=1 % spatial decorrelation scales, in degrees MAPSCALE\_LONGITUDE\_LARGE=6 MAPSCALE\_LONGITUDE\_SMALL=3 MAPSCALE\_LATITUDE\_LARGE=4 MAPSCALE\_LATITUDE\_SMALL=2 % cross-isobath scales, dimensionless, see BS(2005) MAPSCALE\_PHI\_LARGE=0.1 MAPSCALE\_PHI\_SMALL=0.02 % temporal decorrelation scale, in years MAPSCALE\_AGE=5 MAPSCALE\_AGE\_LARGE=10 % exclude the top xxx dbar of the water column MAP\_P\_EXCLUDE=100 % only use historical data that are within +/- yyy dbar from float data MAP\_P\_DELTA=200



Figure 15: Float 3901880. Trajectory of the float with historical CTD data. The black contours indicate the bathymetry at 0, 200, 1000 and 2000 m.



Figure 16: Float 3901880. Uncalibrated float data and mapped salinity.



3901880 potential conductivity (mmho/cm) multiplicative correction r with errors



Figure 17: Float 3901880. Potential conductivity (top) and vertically averaged salinity (bottom) with errors.



Figure 18: Float 3901880. Potential conductivity (top) and vertically averaged salinity (bottom) with errors with a changed size of axis



Figure 19: Float 3901880. Calibrated float data and mapped salinity.





Figure 21: Float 3901880. Salinities with errors on  $\theta$ .





Figure 23: Float 3901880. Salinity, salinity variance on theta and OW chosen levels.

## 3.3 Summary and Conclusions

The theta levels were set between 1000 m. The analysis is made based on the Argo reference data. Float is drifting due to a conductivity cell 1-29 QC=1, err=0.1; 30-63 apply correction qc=2, err=0.16; 64-100 QC= 4; Floats with the profile number larger than 100 are gray listed.

## 4 Final Checks



35 Figure 24: Float 3901880. Time series of applied pressure corrections.



Figure 25: Float 3901880. Time series of applied temperature corrections.



Figure 26: Float 3901880. Time series of applied salinity corrections.